





A brief Overview of Clinical Texts Automatic De-Identification/Pseudonymization

In: Legislation and regulations for data spaces: an environment for the development of a European Data Market

Cyril GROUIN

2024, January 29th

F5

Version: 1/26/24

Definitions

- De-identification or pseudonymization
 - Explicit identifiers (I saw Mr Paul Smith on January 29th for left lower back pain)
 → indirect identifiers (I saw Mr John Doe on May 23rd for left lower back pain)
 - Existing list of types of identifiers (names, address, dates, record numbers, etc.; cf. HIPAA, 1996)
 - Reversible operation if access to additional data

Anonymization

- Meystre et al (2010): "data cannot be linked to identify the patient"
- Should not be reversible: more complex, how can we guarantee it?

Clinical Texts Access Issues

Hospitals

- Medical staff produce data about patients
 - Structured data (databases):
 - \rightarrow easy to process
 - Unstructured data (texts):
 → needs for ad hoc NLP tools
- Clinical records: sensitive data
 - Data protection needed within the hospital
 - Pseudonymization/anonymization for use out of hospital

Clinical Texts Access Issues

Hospitals

- Medical staff produce data about patients
 - Structured data (databases):
 → easy to process
 - Unstructured data (texts):
 → needs for ad hoc NLP tools
- Clinical records: sensitive data
 - Data protection needed within the hospital
 - Pseudonymization/anonymization for use out of hospital

Academics

- Needs real data
 - To study clinical language properties
 - To produce/evaluate NLP tools
- Can hardly access clinical records (GDPR)
- Alternative solutions are similar but not real (distinct language properties):
 - Clinical cases
 - Generation of clinical records



Two main stages:





Two main stages:





Two main stages:



Data format	Types of identifiers	Complexity level	Useful approach
Numerical	Date, Phone number, etc.	 Low Regular format Low diversity Rare ambiguity 	 Regular expressions Statistical approaches





Data format	Types of identifiers	Complexity level	Useful approach
		,	
Textual	Names, City	 Medium Exhaustiveness Possible ambiguity Out-of-Vocabulary 	 Lists / Hospital database Context-based regular expressions Statistical approaches

Data format	Types of identifiers	Complexity level	Useful approach
Textual			
	Address, Facility	 High Huge diversity (format, length) 	 Context-based regular expressions (still complex) Statistical approaches



Data format	Types of identifiers	Complexity level	Useful approach
Numerical	Date, Phone number, etc.	 Low Regular format Low diversity Rare ambiguity 	 Regular expressions Statistical approaches
Textual	Names, City	 Medium Exhaustiveness Possible ambiguity Out-of-Vocabulary 	 Lists / Hospital database Context-based regular expressions Statistical approaches
	Address, Facility	 High Huge diversity (format, length) 	 Context-based regular expressions (still complex) + Statistical approaches

Clinical Texts 2 – Pseudonymizing the content

Type of identifier	Process	Clinical usefulness	Points of vigilance
Date, Age	Date shiffting	Intervals of dates are kept (clinical value)	 New dates must be relevant from a clinical point of view (infant age w/ adult disease; Covid-19 in 2016) Adding a slight random shiffting process in intervals of dates (differential privacy)
Phone, Zip, Medical record number	Random draw	No	
Names, Address, City, Hospital names	Random draw	Demographic data (importance of a city, known impact of the environment on health)	 Retain original data distribution for further statistical-based NLP

Evaluation

How to evaluate de-identified outputs?

Classical way in NLP:

- Are sensitive data correctly identified?
 - True positive, False positive, False negative
 - \rightarrow Recall (Sensitivity), Precision, F1-score
 - Frontiers: I saw Mr [John] Doe → Incorrect span
 - Labels: Parking Office Customer service [32330]

 \rightarrow Zip (incorrect) vs. Telephone (5-digit extension of a main phone number)

Evaluation

How to evaluate de-identified outputs?

Classical way in NLP:

- Are sensitive data correctly identified?
 - True positive, False positive, False negative
 - → Recall (Sensitivity), Precision, F1-score
 - Frontiers: I saw Mr [John] Doe → Incorrect span
 - Labels: Parking Office Customer service [32330]

 \rightarrow Zip (incorrect) vs. Telephone (5-digit extension of a main phone number)

Clinical-oriented evaluation:

- Are pseudonymized data still clinically useful? (e.g., if all patient and doctor names are replaced by *John Doe* → loss of information)
- If one sensitive data is not pseudonymized (e.g., patient's last name), is the whole clinical record still pseudonymized?

Evaluation French Clinical Cases – Main Labels





Evaluation French Clinical Cases – Detailed Labels



Evaluation Global results per language



French clinical cases translated into 26 langages, then de-identified

Conclusions

- Data are stored in hospital, researchers are in academic labs
 - But a few medical doctors succeed to use AI tools and models on their data (especially medical staff with NLP PhD)
- Why de-identifying clinical data?
 - Who will access the data? Which objective?
 - Does the final user need to keep a consistent replacement of explicit identifiers in all documents from a given patient?
- How de-identifying sensitive data?
 - Depending on the type of sensitive data, using transformers models (that have a strong impact on the environment) is not always the best solution